

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,424	03/08/2004	Eric A. Nyberg	14185-B 1883 EXAMINER	
29171	7590 04/05/2006			
BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE			MAI, NGOCLAN THI	
ATTN: IP SERVICES, K1-53 P. O. BOX 999			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RICHLAND), WA 99352	1742		
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/796,424	NYBERG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DARWING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	anuary 2006.					
,-	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-39,41-137,148 and 149</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-21,23 and 54-137 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2, 4-6, 8-10,22,24-39, 41-51, 53, 148-149 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>52</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Au . 1						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/9/05.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/796,424 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1-5, 22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP04116104.

The reference discloses a composition consisting of a metal powder, a binder and a sublimable substance such as naphthalene and dichlorobenzene, see abstract. The binder and the sublimable substance reads on the limitation "an aromatic binder system" where the sublimable substance is the aromatic species. The sublimable substance or aromatic species disclosed is present in an amount of from 3-15 wt%. Note that this amount encompasses the limitation less than 40 vol% of said aromatic species or less than 14 wt.% as calculated by the applicant per page 3 of the remark section. The amount of metal powder in the composition disclosed by the reference would encompass the amount metal powder recited in claims 24-26.

3. Claims 148-149 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP06-002011.

The reference discloses the a powder mixture comprising one or more than 2 kinds of powders selected from the group consisting of metal alloy, intermetallic compound and ceramic and one or more than 2 kinds of powders of sublimable materials. On page 4 of the translation, the reference discloses the sublimable materials are chlorobenzene and naphthalene powder, para [0020].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claim 6, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP04116104.

The differences between the claim and the reference is that the reference does not specifically teach the aromatic binder system comprise benzene and naphthalene. However, since individually benzene and naphthalene is taught to be used as the sublimable substance, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 1742

to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine two or more materials when each is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose. <u>In re Kerkhoven</u>, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980).

5. Claims 8-9, 50-51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP04116104 in view of JP06-002011.

The difference between the claims and JP04116104 is that JP04116104 does not teach the type of metal powder.

JP06-002011 teaches one or more than 2 kinds of powders selected from a group consisting of metal, alloy, intermetallic compound can be used to produce powder compact, see abstract. The metal powder can be refractory metal powder such as Ti powder and TiAl or tiC powder powder are employed with sublimable substance such as chlorobenzene or naphthalene for making powder compact, see paragraph [0017] of the translation. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that metal powder of JP04116104 is formed of refractory metal powder additional metal powder such as TiAl or TiC taught by JP06-002011 since it is known that these types of metals can be combined with the aromatic binder system of JP04116104 as taught by JP06-002011 for making powder compact.

Claims 27-39 and 41-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP04116104 in view of Herrmann (U.S. Patent No. 3,330,892).

The differences between the claims and JP04116104 are that JP04116104 does not specifically teach the binder is a polymer.

Herrmann teaches a moldable batch mixture for forming article by powder metallurgy forming techniques, wherein the mixture comprises inorganic material such as metal powder mixed with and dispersed in a fluid organic vehicle together with an organic deflocculant and binder (col. 1, l. 10-27). The organic vehicle can be any organic material that is solid at normal room temperature and pressure such as paradichlorobenzene, benzoic acid and naphthalene, col. 3, Table I. The organic binder can be any one of carnauba wax, polymethyl methacrylate resin, polyethylene glycols with average molecular weight ranging from 3000 to 20000, polyvinyl acetate resin, unoxidized and oxidized microcrystalline

Art Unit: 1742

waxes, styrene resins with average molecular weights of 1500 or more, chlorinated naphthalene and polyvinyl alcohol resin (col. 7, I. 3-10).

Since Hermann teaches polymer binder is used with the same sublimable substance as disclosed by JP04116104, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the binder taught by JP04116104 be made of the material taught by Hermann.

Regarding claims 28 to 37, Hermann teaches that the organic binder can be present in the amount of 2-3 percent by weight (col. 2, I. 16-18) and the organic binder taught includes polymethyl methacrylate, which is a thermoset polymer and polyvinyl acetate resin, which is thermoplastic polymer. Although the amount of the binder is in wt. percent, it is the examiners position that, when used in the composition of JP04116104 and converted to volume percent, this amount inherently encompasses the claimed amount absent evidence to the contrary. In the alternative, no patentable distinction is seen to exist between the reference and the claimed invention in the absence of any evidence showing the contrary.

Regarding claims 38-39 and 41-42, Hermann discloses that deflocculant can be surfactants (col. 4, l. 18+) and that the deflocculant functions as a binder, col. 2, lines 19-22. As such it would be added in the amount of about 2-3 percent by weight. Although the amount of the surfactant is in wt. percent, it is the examiners position that, when used in the composition of JP04116104 and converted to volume percent, this amount inherently encompasses the claimed amount absent evidence to the contrary. In the alternative, no patentable distinction is seen to exist between the reference and the claimed invention in the absence of any evidence showing the contrary.

Regarding claim 43-46, Hermann discloses the deflocculant can be fatty acid (col. 4, I. 27-33) and Table III and metal salt of fatty acid (col. 4, I. 53-61 and Table V). These deflocculants inherently act as lubricant as claimed. Regarding claim 47, Hermann teaches organic binder can be carnauba wax and microcrystalline waxes, col. 7, I. 5 and 8, which inherently serve as lubricant. As for claims 48-49, Hermann discloses employing oleic acid, i.e., fatty acid in the amount of 6cc., Example 12. While there is no recitation of the volume amount of the lubricant in the feedstock, it is the examiners position that, when

Application/Control Number: 10/796,424 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

used in the composition of JP04116104 and converted to volume percentage, the amount of lubricant in the composition would inherently encompass the claimed amounts absent evidence to the contrary. In the alternative, no patentable distinction is seen to exist between the reference and the claimed invention in the absence of any evidence showing the contrary.

6. Claim 52 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngoclan T. Mai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

n.m.